



# ***News Release***

**U.S. ARMY FIELD SUPPORT COMMAND**  
Rock Island, Illinois

***April 20, 2006***

***FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE***

## **Logistics Civil Augmentation Program Award Fee Board Results**

Rock Island, Ill. – The Army Field Support Command (AFSC) conducted another Award Fee Evaluation Board in November 2005 in Afghanistan to determine award fees for Kellogg, Brown & Root on a Cost Plus Award Fee contract under the Logistics Civil Augmentation Program (LOGCAP).

The board met and rated KBR as outlined in the base contract, Clause H-36(l).

H-36 (l) provides that the following adjectival ratings shall be used to describe the level of performance:

1. **EXCELLENT**: Performance is of the highest quality that could be achieved under the contract. There are no areas of deficiencies or problems encountered during the evaluation period. Award Fee Earned range is 82-100 percent of the award fee pool.

2. **VERY GOOD**: Performance is of high quality and approaching the best that could be performed by the Contractor. Work completed greatly exceeds an average performance level. A few minor problems are experienced during the evaluation period without impacting the overall level of performance. Award Fee Earned range is 44-80 percent of the award fee pool.

3. **GOOD**: Contractor exceeds some contract requirements in a manner demonstrating commitment to the program. Work completed is much better than minimum required performance. Areas of deficiency and minor problems are more than off-set by areas of good performance. Award Fee Earned range is 4-40 percent of the award fee pool.

4. **AVERAGE**: Contractor's performance is the minimum required level to meet needs. Areas of good performance are offset by deficiencies and problems, which reduces performance to a level that is minimally acceptable under the contract. Award Fee Earned is zero percent.

-more-

**LOGCAP Sixth AFB (2-2-2)**

Listed below are the task orders that have been through this Award Fee Board:

Task Order 47: Uzbekistan: Award Fee Determining Official awarded an adjectival performance rating of Excellent and a fee amount of \$346,640 of a potential award fee pool of \$368,766.

Task Order 62: Pol-E-Charki: Award Fee Determining Official awarded an adjectival performance rating of Excellent and a fee amount of \$62,846 of the potential award fee pool of \$64,128.

Task Order 84: Salerno: Award Fee Determining Official awarded an adjectival performance rating of Excellent and a fee amount of \$359,675 of the potential award fee pool of \$374,661.

Task Order 92: Coalition Joint Operational Area, CJOA: Award Fee Determining Official awarded an adjectival performance rating of Excellent and a fee amount of \$243,081 of the potential award fee pool of \$270,090.

Task Order 95: Kabul Compound: Award Fee Determining Official awarded an adjectival performance rating of Excellent and a fee amount of \$128,589 of the potential award fee pool of \$133,947.

Task Order 97: Bagram: Award Fee Determining Official awarded an adjectival performance rating of Excellent and a fee amount of \$1,564,186 of the potential award fee pool of \$1,629,360.

Task Order 98: Kandahar: Award Fee Determining Official awarded an adjectival performance rating of Excellent and a fee amount of \$1,134,735 of the potential award fee pool of \$1,233,408.

Task Order 108: Task Force Phoenix: Award Fee Determining Official awarded an adjectival performance rating of Excellent and a fee amount of \$939,413 of the potential award fee pool of \$1,092,341.